1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT TACOMA 7 EMILY TORJUSEN, CASE NO. C18-5785 BHS 8 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 9 v. 10 NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION, 11 Defendant. 12 13 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant Amtrak's Motion to Stay 14 Execution under Rule 62(b), conditioned on its posting a supersedeas bond in the amount 15 of \$10,000,000 (which is 125% of the jury's verdict and the judgment). Dkt. 76. Torjusen 16 seeks a bond of 150% of the judgment, which she argues is akin to what the Court 17 recently required in a similar case, Steele v. Amtrak, No. 19-cv-5553 BHS, at Dkt. 113 18 (\$10,000,000 bond on \$6,875,000 judgment). 19 Amtrak's motion for an order staying execution of the judgment, Dkt. 76, is 20 **GRANTED**. Amtrak shall post a supersedeas bond in the amount of \$11,000,000 to stay 21 execution on the judgment. The stay shall be effective upon Amtrak posting the 22

supersedeas bond. The stay expires when the time for Amtrak to appeal has expired or the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issues a mandate, whichever is later. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 3rd day of June, 2022. United States District Judge